



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/364,375	07/30/1999	999 RONEN CHAYAT IN		9363	
75	590 12/04/2002				
TIMOTHY N TROP			EXAMINER		
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P O BOX 8554			CANGIALOSI, SALVATORE A		
KATY FREEWAY STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661		

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

					-e				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/364,375		CHAYAT, RONEN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Salvatore Cangia		2661					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence add	iress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Se, cause the application to	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days v IX (6) MONTHS from th become ABANDONED	y filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	October 1999 .							
2a)□	` `	nis action is non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
· _	ion of Claims								
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiren	nent.						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objecte	d to by the Exam	iner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ approved	d b) disapprov	ed by the Examine	r.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office acti	on.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		Stage				
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).				
) The translation of the foreign language process. The translation of the foreign language process. The translation is made of a claim for domes.	• •							
Attachmen		· · ·							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 🗆		PTO-413) Paper No(s Itent Application (PTO					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Serial Number: 09/364,375 -2-

Art Unit: 2661

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

6. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Petersen et al, Akhtar or Blocking et al in view or either DeGolia, Jr. or Lockart et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 13 Petersen et al (See Figs 6 and 9, and claims 1-20), Akhtar(See Figs. 2 and 7 and cols. 2 and 3) or Blocking et al (See Fig. 2, and claims 1-10) discloses a method and apparatus for transmitting packets of different types with different priorities substantially as claimed. Note that realtime data takes precedence over non real time data, voice over data and quality of service higher over lower priority and that the priority of service class is implicit in the IP protocols. The differences between the above and the claimed

Serial Number: 09/364,375 -3-

Art Unit: 2661

invention is specific priority assigned to security packets. DeGolia, Jr. (See Fig. 3. and claim 12 and Col. 4, lines 30-40) or Lockart et al (See Figs. 1-4) show security packets in a quality of service environment taking more time due to their increased size and mathematical complexity. Note that the Public Key encryption standard requires 1024 bits for the key space and employed in the transmission of most credit card numbers through the internet and thus uses more bandwidth due to its size and more time due to its mathematical complexity. It would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in this art to provide a similar arrangement for Petersen et al, Akhtar or Blocking et al because it is conventional and standard practice to employ a lower priority for the more complex and more lengthy packet because secure packets require greater precision due to unrecoverability of the key employed if even a few bits are in error and these components are no more than the conventional equivalents of what is disclosed in the primary items of evidence. The deficiencies of the art with respect to some of the dependent claims deal with the conventional secure communication protocols.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Salvatore Cangialosi at telephone number (703) 305-1837. If

-4-

Art Unit: 2661

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms, can be reached at (703) 305-4703.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to (703)872-9314(for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Sixth Floor(Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SALVATORE CANGIALOSI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 222